A crash course in EXP

Mike Petersen

July 7, 2022

1 *N*-body simulation

The bread and butter of EXP is N-body simulation. Most of the software in the EXP tree is focused on the advancement of particles through time. A full description of all the assumptions, tuning parameters, and options will be found elsewhere. In this document, I'm simply going to get EXP started and troubleshoot any issues after the fact. We'll test everything interactively, but all options can be placed into a driver script.

Goals for this section:

- 1. Run test examples exercising different force methods in EXP .
- 2. Read EXP output files with Python.
- 3. Design new N-body experiments in EXP.

The material for this tutorial is contained in NBodyTutorial.tar.gz. You should unpack this (tar -xvf NBodyTutorial.tar.gz) in some directory on the same machine that has EXP. Once unpacked, you will see the following structure and input files:

1	NBodyTutorial/
2	Binary/
3	binary.yml
4	binary.bods
5	logpot.yml
6	SimpleHalo/
$\overline{7}$	livesphere.yml
8	halo.bods
9	$\operatorname{SLGridSph}$. model
10	DiskHalo/
11	galaxy.yml
12	halo.bods
13	$\operatorname{SLGridSph}$. model
14	disk.bods
15	.eof.cache.run0
16	satellite.yml

Believe it or not, this is all you need to get started and exercise quite a bit of the EXP N-body codebase.

General *N***-body warnings.** But even before we get started, there are also generic *N*-body warnings to try not to run afoul of:

- 1. DO NOT just blindly interpret the outputs from an EXP (or *any N*-body) simulation. Simulations are complex machines with hundreds of input choices, some of which have been made implicitly. Always check the diagnostics provided for anything that 'looks funny': rapidly changing or discontinuous quantities and zeros are two primary warning signs.
- 2. Don't trust something that seems too good to be true¹.

¹As will be discussed below, I'm thinking of timesteps, which can easily be too large, or trusting results on scales that simulations are simply not sensive to (below the 'resolution'). An interesting experiment with EXP is breaking the timesteps by tinkering with the multistep settings. As you change multistep and the dynfrac values, you can check how the timesteps changes by tracking the .levels file.

What shouldn't I try to do with EXP, yet (at least not without a diagnostic plan)? The primary limitation for the basis function pieces of EXP lies in the perturbative nature of the basis representation. If the mass distribution of a particular component gets 'too far' away from the initial distribution, the theory behind basis function expansions will no longer work. The 'feel' of when a basis works or doesn't work has been honed by practitioners, but needs to be studied further. In this tutorial, we have not designed our own bases: this is a goal of the next tutorial. Without designed bases, we are restricted in what problems we can currently study – any components that do not match the density distribution of a component we have already used (e.g. the halos and the particular exponential disc we used) are currently inaccessible.

1.1 First steps: a few-body integration

What happens when I mpirun exp? EXP is designed to run with Open MPI², so one does not simply type exp at the command line³. Rather, one types mpirun exp to execute jobs using the Open MPI framework. You can man mpirun to read the gory details, but for our purposes, it is sufficient to simply specify the number of processors, e.g. mpirun -np 12 exp -h to use 12 processors and read the help message.

For the purposes of this tutorial, we'll be using SLURM scheduling software, in interactive mode. If you are using PBS, or no scheduler, you may omit the srun commands. Typical startups to get to a place to run EXP will look something like

- 1 srun -n1 --- pty \$SHELL # start a 1-node interactive job
- 2 export LDLIBRARY_PATH=/home/mpete0_umass_edu/lib:\$LD_LIBRARY_PATH # set the library path on the 3 which exp # /home/mpete0_umass_edu/bin/exp

%

%

%

%

4 ldd /home/mpete0_umass_edu/bin/exp # validate the libraries (check that none are missing!)

When EXP is first called, you will see a stanza listing the processors that have been engaged, as well as a banner listing the version header:

- 4 %%%% Repository URL | https://mdweinberg@bitbucket.org/mdweinberg/exp.git
- 5 %%%%% Current branch | devel
- 6 %%%% Current commit | f4090892c7b638112c1163bf57c0157cc67d6e72
- 7 %%%%% Compile time | 2022-01-27 09:31:25 UTC

To feed an input file to EXP, the standard usage is something like mpirun -np 12 exp config.yml where the input file is in .yml format – discussed in more detail below. For now, we will consider a general global stanza. Users will always need to change specific lines in a .yml input file. These are found at the very beginning of the file, in the 'Global' stanza.

```
1 runtag : the name of your run: all files are stamped with this (no spaces!).
```

- 2 outdir : /path/to/output/files
- 3 nsteps: how many large timesteps to integrate (integer)
- 4 dtime : the large timestep (float). See note.
- 5 multistep : how many time subdivisions to allow. See note.
- 6 $dynfrac{A,V,P}$: multistep time algorithm prefactors. See note.
- 7 ldlibdir : /path/to/lib/user See note.
- 8 VERBOSE : how chatty the code is

Notes about the above parameters:

- 1. dtime is the large(st) timestep any particle will ever take. Typically this is between 0.001 and 0.01 virial units (see below). This timestep also sets the index for output routines (see below).
- 2. The smallest allowed step will be 2^{multistep} times smaller than dtime. For example, if dtime=0.01 and multistep=3, the smallest timestep will be 0.01/8 = 0.00125. Typically, multistep is in the 4-6 range. Opening more levels will add computational time.
- 3. The prefactors, which set the multistep level for particles, should be of the order how many steps you wish to take per orbital period. We usually shoot for about 100 steps per orbital period, so a first estimate⁴ of the dynfrac parameters should be $\simeq 0.01$.

 $^{^{2}}$ Message Passing Interface, a protocol by which different distributed computers communicate

³For completeness, some EXP routines will run without mpirun, and all can be run with mpirun. It is generally recommended to take advantage of the parallel processing capability, and use mpirun.

⁴Optimising runtime by adjusting multistep and timesteps is an advanced topic that will be covered in other tutorials as needed.

- 4. ldlibdir is the path where the user modules are installed. This is not needed to make EXP run, but if you wish to use a user module (see below), you will need this directory to be correct. On startup, EXP will search this directory for libraries and print a list of the available routines.
- 5. VERBOSE sets how much to report. VERBOSE=0 will run the code in 'silent' mode. VERBOSE=4 will return timing information as the code is running, and is a typical choice. Higher values of VERBOSE will return deeper debug information, and is usually not needed.

When should I use sphereSL/cylinder/direct/noforce/other? EXP is unique in the variety of force evaluation methods offered for N-body interaction, and crucially, the ability to mix and match different force methods. Choosing the correct force method for your problem is usually straightforward, by following this cheatsheet:

- 1. direct computes softened direct interactions between all particles, and should be used for small numbers of bodies, and/or investigations that require physical effects. Different softening kernels are available, which we will not discuss here. We recommend using the spline kernel (set type:Spline).
- 2. sphereSL uses Sturm-Liouville solutions to the Poisson equation to represent radial basis elements, and spherical harmonics for angular basis elements. This should be used for roughly spherical components where one wishes to include self gravity.
- 3. cylinder starts with a high-order Sturm-Liouville basis and creates empirical orthogonal functions that best match the target density distribution⁵. This should be used for flattened objects, like discs.
- 4. noforce applies no force, and should be used if one is running pure test particles⁶. This will almost always be used with some sort of external imposed potential, typically through a user module.

There are other force evaluators too (purpose-built Hernquist and Clutton-Brock bases, 1d slab models, 2d disc bases), but the use of these is both advanced and atypical.

Integrating binary stars. We'll start with the simplest possible *N*-body integration: a binary with only two components to check for libraries and validate the code. This example uses a direct-summation force, so it does not exercise anything more than whether the code machinery will run, and serve as a first introduction to the input files. In the NBodyTutorial/Binary/ folder, you'll find everything you need to run this example.

First, using the provided binary.yml, inspect the file using a text editor. You will see the Global stanza as described above, followed by a 'Component' stanza, followed by an 'Output' stanza (and two more empty stanzas: 'External' and 'Interaction', discussed below). After editing the output folder in the Global stanza, you are ready run your first EXP N-body simulation! To run interactively, simply type

1 mpirun -np 1 exp binary.yml # do a few interactive steps of the binary: always need mpirun!

You can break the evolution after 30 seconds or so by typing ctrl+C, or the whole simulation takes about a minute. If you now look in the folder (ls -lah), you will see new files! I now have

- 1 OUTLOG.run0
- 2 ORBTRACE.run0
- 3 run0.levels
- 4 config.run0.yml
- 5 current.processor.rates.run0

We've asked EXP to print the general log file (OUTLOG), a trace of the orbits (ORBTRACE), the multistep level diagnostics (run0.levels). EXP will also print the configuration file config to record the inputs, and a diagnostic file recording the speed of different processors (only useful on heterogenous systems, you shouldn't have to worry about this). We'll look at the majority of the diagnostics a bit later, but if you wanted to read the ORBTRACE file, it's a straightforward ascii file that can be read and plotted in Python ⁷:

```
1 import numpy as np
```

```
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3 def read_orbtrace(filename):
    """ definition to read EXP 'ORBTRACE'-style files
    One large dictionary is returned, with sub-dictionaries.
    Each orbit is returned as a sub-dictionary, with positions,
```

⁵If you look in the code, this will be called EmpCylSL.

⁶Particles which don't source their own gravity, but rather 'test' the potential.

⁷Also available as a GitHub gist.

```
8
          velocities, and accelerations.
        ,, ,, ,,
9
10
        \# each orbit has various quantities printed:
11
        names = ['x', 'y', 'z', 'u', 'v', 'w', 'ax', 'ay', 'az', 'lev']
12
        \# open the file once to see the structure
        A = np.genfromtxt(filename, skip_header=100)
13
14
        # how many entries are there in the first row?
15
        columns = A.shape[1]
16
        \# compute the number of orbits
        n_{orbits} = int((columns-1)/10)
17
18
        \# now go back and read in the data to create separate orbits
19
        A = np.genfromtxt(filename, skip_header=columns+1)
20
        Orbit = dict()
21
        for orbit in range(n_orbits):
22
            Orbit[orbit] = dict()
23
            for ikey, key in enumerate(names):
24
                 Orbit [orbit] [key] = A[:, 10 * orbit + ikey + 1]
25
        return Orbit
26
27
   O = read_orbtrace('ORBTRACE.run0')
28
29
    plt.plot(O[0]['x'],O[0]['y'],color='black')
    plt.plot(O[1]['x'],O[1]['y'],color='red')
30
```

The resulting figure is shown in Figure 1. We've successfully run a first integration using the EXP machinery! We'll come back for the other output files shortly.



Figure 1: The result from the simple 'Binary' EXP test.

1.2 A first many-body example

How do I tell EXP about the configurations I want? In the binary example, we saw the different stanzas of the .yml input in action, but we didn't explore in any detail. Arguably the most important from the *N*-body perspective is the stanza that specifies the components. We'll look at some test cases here.

```
1 Components:
```

5

```
2 – name : binary
```

```
3 parameters : {nlevel: 1, indexing: true}
4 bodyfile : binary.bods
```

force : {id : direct, type : Spline}

The parameters list under the component name is a catchall that we will fill out more later. The two parameters we currently set are nlevel, which sets the frequency that multistep levels are reported (in units of dtime from the Global stanza), and indexing, which tells EXP to keep track of particle indices (typically not needed, but it is safest to keep this true).

The input location of points to be integrated is **bodyfile**. The format of the bodyfile is simple ascii, with each line of the file a simple list of

1 mass xposition yposition zposition xvelocity yvelocity zvelocity

An additional header line specifying the number of bodies, as well as the number of additional integer and float attributes (not needed for standard EXP usage, so simply set both to 0):

```
1 nbodies nintegerattributes nfloatattributes
```

Using the Binary example, if we inspect binary.bods, we see (the entire file!)

1 mpete0_umass_edu@login:/nas/astro-th/mpetersen/Binary\$ more binary.bods 2 2 0 0 3 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.0

 $4 \qquad 1.0 \qquad -1.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ -0.2 \ 0.0$

You should be able to translate the different elements of the file using the instructions above.

The force is specified with id call in the force entry, which will also take any and all additional parameters. In this case, we used direct, so the only force in the problem was each body in the binary influencing each other. We're now ready for a more complex example, which is our first foray into the guts of EXP technology.

Evolving an isolated halo. This test is located in NBodyTutorial/SimpleHalo. The test is a simple example of using an adaptive spherical basis, sphereSL. The model only has one component, a spherical halo. The target halo model is specified here in the ascii file SLGridSph.model.

1	– name : dark ha	lo
2	parameters : {nlevel	: 1, indexing: true}
3	bodyfile : halo.bo	ods
4	force :	
5	id : sphereSL	
6	parameters :	
$\overline{7}$	modelname :	$\operatorname{SLGridSph}$. model
8	Lmax :	6
9	nmax :	20
10	numr :	4000
11	rmin :	0.0001
12	rmax :	1.95
13	rs :	0.0667
14	$self_consistent:$	true

We see the familiar component name, parameters, and bodyfile. However, we're now seeing our first basis function parameters with a new force force option: the sphereSL force class. When using sphereSL, we need a spherical model file. In this case, the model file is called SLGridSph.model. We can inspect the file:

```
1 mpete0_umass_edu@login:/nas/astro-th/mpetersen/SimpleHalo$ more SLGridSph.model
2 ! Scaling: R=2 M=1.008 alpha=1 beta=3 rcore=0.015 rtrunc=15 wtrunc=4
3 ! 1) = r 2) = rho 3) = M(r) 4) U(r)
4 1000
5 3.3333333333338-05 4.049325987474e+04 0.0000000000e+00 -1.597315671103e+01
```

(the file continues.) This is the general format for spherical model tables in EXP : four columns, one each of spherical radius, three-dimensional density, mass enclosed, and potential. There is also one additional required header row that specifies the number of entries in each column (here, 1000). The first two rows are c omments, with a leading '!'. More comment rows may be added. Construction of spherical models is covered in the next tutorial.

With this spherical model table, we can then choose the harmonic order lmax (we chose 6, which will give us all spherical harmonics up to $\ell = 6$ as the angular solution) and the number of radial functions per harmonic order nmax (we chose 20, which is typical for halo simulations). The additional parameters set the minimum and maximum radius (0.0001 and 1.95, respectively, in virial units!) and number of grid points (4000). Under the hood, EXP uses a rescaling of the radial interval to the interval [-1, 1] with a scale factor $r_s = 0.0667$ using the scaling function $x = (r/r_s - 1)/(r/r_s + 1)$. Therefore, r_s should be chosen to be roughly the scale parameter of the model. The parameter self_consistent tells the code to recompute the basis at every step. If false, the gravitational field is fixed after the first evaluation⁸.

This simulation will best run with slightly more computational power, so we will request more nodes from SLURM, and again run the simulation in interactive mode:

1 srun -n12 ----pty \$SHELL

2 mpirun -np 12 exp livesphere.yml

Immediately after starting the simulation, a new file will appear in the directory: SLGridSph.cache.run0. This file holds the functions for the basis, which may be read back in by EXP (more on this later).

 $^{^{8}}$ This is how one can 'freeze' components, such that they act as test particles, but you have all the advantages of the initial basis flexibility.

Primary outputs from EXP (How do I read the log files? How do I read the phase-space files? How do I read coefficients?) In the 'Output' stanza, we've seen a few different entries so far. If we look at the SimpleHalo run, we see

```
    Output:
    - id : outlog
    parameters : {nint: 1}
    - id : outpsn
    parameters : {nint: 10}
    - id : outchkpt
    parameters : {nint: 50}
```

The parameter nint set the frequency that outputs are created, in units of dtime (from the 'Global' stanza). After the simulation completes (about three minutes), we also see new files in the directory, in addition to the same files we saw in the binary example run (abridged list):

- 1 OUTLOG. run0
- 2 OUT.run0.00000
- 3 OUT.run0.chkpt

Each file is generated by a different output routine. The most common output routines are

- 1. orbtrace, a helper to record a subset of orbits. We have already seen this in action above. Useful for very small components, or to gain extra diagnostic information about a handful of (say, 100) orbits.
- 2. outlog, which prints the general diagnostics for each component. See immediately below for an example.
- 3. outpsn, the most common phase-space writer, in 'Phase-Space Protocol' format. These files start with OUT. and end in a 5-digit number. This method is best used for large files, as it will write in binary format to consolidate space. The downside is that it requires a custom reader. EXP will handle these files internally, and a Python reader is available in the source branch here⁹.
- 4. outchkpt, the checkpoint writer, used for restarting long simulations. These files start with OUT. and end in .chkpt. Typically these can have very infrequent saves, but are the best file to restart a run¹⁰.
- 5. outcoef, the coefficient writer. EXP will handle the format flexibly. Python support is offered by OutCoef, in the EXPTOOL tree, located here (to be consumed into EXP). See below in the disc+halo example. The file formats differ between a sphereSL and cylinder component.
- 6. outpsq, a parallel-write version of the phase space files. These files start with SPL. and end in 5-digit numbers. Best used when running in GPU mode when outputting phase spaces frequently, where write times can be a large part of the runtime. These files can be read in using the same routine as outpsn in the source tree.
- 7. outascii, an ascii version of the phase-space writer. Best used for small numbers of particles, only.

Additionally, many tools are already available for *N*-body analysis. EXP provides a suite of tools for *N*-body analysis in the Analysis folder. EXPTOOL offers comprehensive Python analysis support, tailored to match EXP. In some cases, the needed code has been merged into the EXP source tree; in other cases there is still ongoing development. In particular, reading phase-space files can be straightforwardly done in Python using the psp_io routine, and specifying the name of the component you want to read:

1 O = psp_io.Input('OUT.run0.00000', 'dark_halo')

This will create a class which holds the phase space data as a .data dictionary, with keys holding the position and velocity. For example, to make a simple scatter plot of the x - y plane using the above output,

- 1 plt.figure(figsize = (4,4))
- 2 plt.scatter(O.data['x'],O.data['y'],color='black',s=2.)

Another good place to start for analysing any run is with the OUTLOG file, which can be straightforwardly read using the below definition¹¹:

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3
```

4 **def** read_outlog(filename):

 $^{^{9}\}mathrm{Or}$ the cutting-edge version as part of <code>EXPTOOL</code> , called <code>particle.py</code>, located here.

¹⁰Using the 'Global' stanza parameter infile : OUT.run0.chkpt, for example.

¹¹Also available as a GitHub gist.

```
""" definition to read EXP 'OUTLOG'-style files
 5
 6
         One large dictionary is returned, with sub-dictionaries.
 7
         Each component is returned as a sub-dictionary, with positions,
 8
            velocities, and energy conservation.
         \label{eq:additional_sub-dictionary} An \ additional \ sub-dictionary \ , \ with \ \ global \ \ quantities \ , \ \ is \ \ also \ \ included .
 9
10
          22 22 2
11
         \# each orbit has various quantities printed:
         global_columns = ['time', 'mass', 'bodies', 'x', 'y', 'z', 'u', 'v', 'w', \
    'Lx', 'Ly', 'Lz', 'KE', 'PE', 'VC', 'E', '2T/VC', 'clock', 'used']
component_columns = ['mass', 'bodies', 'x', 'y', 'z', 'u', 'v', 'w', \
    'Lx', 'Ly', 'Lz', 'Cx', 'Cy', 'Cz', 'KE', 'PE', 'VC', 'E', '2T/VC', 'used']

12
13
14
15
         \# open the file once to see the structure
16
         A = np.genfromtxt(filename, skip_header=6, delimiter='|')
17
         \# how many entries are there in the first row?
18
         columns = A.shape[1]
19
20
         \# compute the number of components
21
         n_{\text{-components}} = int((columns-19)/20)
22
         \# go back and prepare to get the component names
23
         B = np.genfromtxt(filename, skip_header=2,comments="@", delimiter='|', dtype='S20', \
24
              invalid_raise=False)
25
         Log = dict()
26
         \# first read in the global component
         Log['global'] = dict()
27
         for ikey, key in enumerate(global_columns):
28
29
                   Log['global'][key] = A[:, ikey]
30
         for component in range(n_components):
              \# get the correct component name
31
32
              st = B[0][20 * component + 19]. split()
33
              if len(st)>1:
                   st = [s.decode() \text{ for } s \text{ in } st[0:-1]]
34
                   component_name = '_'.join(st)
35
36
              else:
                   component_name = st [0]. decode()
37
              Log[component_name] = dict()
38
              for ikey, key in enumerate (component_columns):
39
                   Log[component\_name][key] = A[:, 20 * component+ikey+19]
40
41
         return Log
42
43
    O = read_outlog('OUTLOG.run0')
44
    print(O.keys())
45
    plt.figure(figsize = (4,3))
46
    plt.plot(O['global']['time'],O['dark_halo']['KE'],color='black')
47
    plt.plot(O['global']['time'],O['dark_halo']['PE'],color='red')
48
49
    plt.xlabel('time_(virial_units)')
50
    plt.ylabel('energy_(virial_units)')
```

The resulting plot is shown in Figure 2. The kinetic and potential energy show show drift, but the sum is (largely) conserved. We can also use an additional EXP helper routine to inspect the headers of PSP files, using the command pspinfo OUT.run0.00000. We're now ready to mix together multiple components, which we will do as a stellar disc and dark halo example.



Figure 2: Kinetic and potential energy from the 'SimpleHalo' test.

1.3 A second many-body example

A first disc+halo integration. This test is located in NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo. The test is a simple example of using an adaptive cylindrical basis, cylinder, combined with the same spherical basis we used in the previous example. If we inspect the .yml file, we now see an additional entry in the 'Components' stanza:

1	- name	:	star	dis	k
2	parameters	:	{nlev	/el:	: 1}
3	bodyfile	:	disk.	boo	ls
4	force :				
5	id : cyli	n d	ler		
6	parameter	ſS	:		
7	a c y l			:	0.01
8	hcyl			:	0.001
9	mmax			:	6
10	ncylord	ler		:	8
11	lmax			:	32
12	nmax			:	32
13	ncylnx			:	256
14	ncylny			:	128
15	logr			:	false
16	self_co	ns	sisten	t:	true

Crucially, we now need a basis for the stellar disk. For the purposes of this tutorial, we have provided a basis cache file. We will cover generating cylindrical bases in the next tutorial session. The provided basis cache file was produced in the $x86_64$ architecture, with the parameters specified above. This cache file contains a pre-made set of disk basis functions. It will be read from the 'outdir' directory in the Global stanza. If you'd like to have a different outdir from this current working dir, please move .eof.cache.run0 to your 'outdir'.

In general, EXP will default to remake the cylindrical basis if it finds that the cachefile does not equal the specified parameters. However, this is computationally expensive. Therefore, particular care should be taken if one is passing a cachefile to EXP in order to make sure it is accepted. The other specified parameters must match the cachefile, or EXP will attempt to remake the basis. When running EXP and inspecting the outputs, you can see if the cached basis was accepted by looking for

- 1 EmpCylSL::cache_grid: file read successfully
- 2 EmpCylSL::read_cache: table forwarded to all processes
- 3 Cylinder parameters: nmax=32 lmax=32 mmax=6 mlim=-1 ncylorder=8

```
4 ncylodd=-1 rcylmin=0.001 rcylmax=20 acyl=0.01 hcyl=0.001 expcond=1
```

5 pcavar=0 pcaeof=0 nvtk=1 npca=50 npca0=0 pcadiag=0

```
6 eof_file=.eof.cache.run0 override=false logarithmic=false vflag=0
```

where the last lines report the full set of parameters used to generate the basis. In this case, we see that we are using harmonic order m = 6 (similar to the halo), n = 8 (fewer than the halo). The basis was designed to approximate an exponential disc with a scale height to scale length ratio of 1:10. Other parameters will be covered in later tutorials.

Two more pieces of crucial information to note in the .yml file. First, there can now be entries in the 'Interaction' stanza. Whenever a run includes multiple components, they will all feel each other's forces by default. If you wanted some forces to not feel each other, you would include specific interaction you wanted off:

1 Interaction:

```
2 dark halo : star disk
```

```
3 star disk : dark halo
```

Components always feel their own force unless **noforce** is specified.

Second, we ask EXP to record the component coefficients using outcoef. Unlike the other output routines, here we must specifically request the output for each component.

```
1 - id : outcoef
2 parameters : {nint: 1, nintsub: 10, name: star disk, filename: outcoef.star.run0 }
3 - id : outcoef
4 parameters : {nint: 1, nintsub: 10, name: dark halo, filename: outcoef.dark.run0 }
```

We have introduced another option, **nintsub**, which records coefficients at the requested **multistep** level. Here we are requesting 10, so that the coefficients are printed at every substep.

This simulation is small, and will also run (fairly) quickly as an interactive job (try to replicate from the commands above!). When complete, we will see two new files in the directory:

```
1 \quad \texttt{outcoef.dark.run0}
```

```
2 outcoef.star.run0
```

Which hold the coefficient time series. Next, we will cover how to do a simple analysis of the coefficients.

Coefficient analysis In all likelihood, you are here for the EXP coefficients in one form or another. To read the outputs from the coefficient files, one may either interface with various EXP routines (including binary file structure if one wishes to read into another program, such as MSSA), or use a Python interface that will read the coefficients directly. The Python version is offered as a standalone tool.

```
from exptool.io import outcoef
1
2
3
   O = outcoef.OutCoef('outcoef.dark.run0')
4
   plt.figure(figsize = (4,3))
5
   for n in range (0,5):
6
        plt.plot (O.T,O. coefs [:, 0, n], color=cm.viridis (n/4.))
7
    plt.xlabel('time_(virial)')
8
    plt.ylabel('amplitude')
9
10
11
   # don't plot the lowest-order function,
12
   # so we can see some dynamic range
   plt.figure (figsize = (4,3))
13
   for n in range (1,5):
14
        plt.plot(O.T,O.coefs[:,0,n],color=cm.viridis(n/4.))
15
16
17
    plt.xlabel('time_(virial)')
   plt.ylabel('amplitude')
18
```

The same routine will also read cylindrical files, as shown in this example.

The figures from the two blocks of code are shown in Figure 6.



Figure 3: Three plots of the coefficients in the 'DiskHalo' test.

1.4 Making EXP your own

Special circumstances, User modules (How do I set up a particular specialised test in EXP?) The easiest way to create extendable features in EXP is through user modules. For example, we can create a static potential background in which to run additional models through a User extension. When EXP starts up, you will see a list of the available routines:

ExternalCollection:

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{3}{4}$

 $\frac{5}{6}$

```
Loaded user libraries <libdisk.so libebar.so libhalo.so liblogpot.so libmndisk.so libuser.so>
```

```
Available user routines are <userdisk userebar userhalo userlogp usermndisk usersat>
```

9

(There will likely be many more!) If you *do not* see a list of user modules when booting EXP, you likely need to check your ldlibdir parameter in the Global stanza. Some user routines are generally available, but the release plan for EXP will include a small number, with the expectation being that users will write their own. The typical call to a user module will look like:

1 External: 2 - id:

3

— id : userlogp

parameters : {R : 0.1, b : 1., c: 1., v2 : 0.9}

When user routines are initialised, you will typically get a banner that describes the routine and the rough effect it will have. Let's try a couple examples, building on what we tried before. First, let's re-run the binary elements in an imposed logarithmic potential using the parameters above.

The binary elements, in a fixed logarithmic potential. This test is in the Binary/ directory, but uses a different input file (logpot.yml). Our first exposure to a user routine will be running the binary elements with no self gravity, but rather in an imposed logarithmic potential. The commands to run the simulation are identical, and we've only changed a handful of things in he .yml file. In particular, this is our first use of noforce. The resulting figure is shown in Figure 4. We've used our first imposed potential and user routine!

If we take a peek under the hood at the code that enabled the integration here, it's really not too bad at all – one can perhaps start to see how the code framework would be extendable. You *do not* have to use **noforce** when using an external potential: you could still allow the binary elements to feel each other's gravity by enabling **direct**. If one were to run a cluster of stars in an imposed background galaxy potential (for example), one would still want the self-gravity of the cluster to be on, for instance.



Figure 4: The result from the simple 'Logarithmic Potential' EXP test.

Perturbing the galactic disc with a satellite. Another user module one may wish to use is usersat, which introduces a softened point mass satellite to the simulation. All components will feel the force from the satellite. The main code for usersat is found here, though users will (currently) need to follow through the code to find all the options.

To set the satellite parameters, one must specify the mass (mass) and the Plummer softening (core). Additionally, one sets the key times in the simulation: ton/toff sets the centre of the error function turn-on/off, delta sets the width of the error function, and toffset slides the orbits from the fiducial time (based on trajectory types, below, the fiducial time is usually when pericentre is reached). We also set orbit=true to print the trajectory of the orbit. One may also set shadow=true to set an exact replica of the satellite that cancels out the odd-order components – usually used for testing bar formation.

There are four options for trajtype:

- 1. circ, a forced circular orbit in the potential of the simulation. (trajtype=0)
- 2. bound, a self-consistent orbit in the potential of the simulation (trajtype=1, SatelliteOrbit.cc)
- 3. unbound, an unbound, self-consistent orbit in the potential of the simulation (trajtype=2, UnboundOrbit.cc)
- 4. linear, a specified linear flyby (trajtype=3, LinearOrbit.cc)

Each trajectory has several options, passed through a config stanza. For example, a full usersat call setting a satellite on a linear orbit flying by the galaxy might look something like:

1	External:		
2	— id : usersa	a t	
3	parameters	:	
4	orbit	:	true
5	ton	:	0.2
6	core	:	0.03
7	mass	:	0.05
8	delta	:	0.05
-			0 0
9	toffset	:	0.2
9 10	toffset shadow	: :	0.2 true
9 10 11	toffset shadow trajtype	: : :	0.2 true 3
9 10 11 12	toffset shadow trajtype config:	: : :	0.2 true 3
9 10 11 12 13	toffset shadow trajtype config: X0	:	0.2 true 3 0.2
9 10 11 12 13 14	toffset shadow trajtype config: X0 Y0	::	0.2 true 3 0.2 -0.5
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	toffset shadow trajtype config: X0 Y0 Z0	: : : :	0.2 true 3 0.2 -0.5 0.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	toffset shadow trajtype config: X0 Y0 Z0 Vsat	::	0.2 true 3 0.2 -0.5 0. 1.

Running the simulation will generate UserSat.run1.1, which is the trajectory file for the satellite. Note that for a linear orbit, the parameters for the trajectory are the initial positions $\{X, Y, Z\}$, and Y velocity (Vsat). One may also rotate the orbit of the satellite using three Euler angles THETA, PSI, and PHIP to re-orient the initial plane.

The same diagnostic plots from Figure 6 are shown in Figure 5, except for the satellite case. The left-most image has been replaced with the satellite's radius.



Figure 5: Three plots from the 'Perturbed DiskHalo' test.

1.5 Advanced Topics

What are the implications of G = 1, and why should I use virial units? EXP does not allow for different values of G (in Poisson's equation¹², $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G\rho$), but rather sets G = 1. EXP is best run in so-called *virial units*, as the code is hard-wired to only allow a gravitational constant G = 1 (this is different than GADGET-X !). Using G = 1 means that virial units are typically convenient numbers, but means that porting initial conditions and masses to run in EXP takes particular care (see equations below¹³).

In virial units, we set $R_{\text{vir}} = M_{\text{vir}} = V_{\text{vir}} = T_{\text{vir}} = 1$. Using these units¹⁴, one can then back out various physical length, velocity, mass, and time scales $(R_{\text{physical}}, M_{\text{physical}}, V_{\text{physical}}, T_{\text{physical}})$ and even the physical force F_{physical}) by simply multiplying the virial quantity by the physical quantity, provided three of the four are

$$V_{\rm vir} = V(R_{\rm vir}) = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{
m vir}}{R_{
m vir}}} = 1$$

¹²Note also that spherical model tables for basis construction **do not** include 4π (which is applied throughout the code), but is rather just the second numerical derivative to match the Poisson equation, $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G\rho$. One must take care when constructing tables – for next time!

¹³And use the value of G for astronomy purposes: $G = 4.3009125 \times 10^{-6} (\text{km s}^{-1})^2 \text{ kpcM}_{\odot}^{-1}$.

 $^{^{14}}$ Recall the the underpinning for the units is simply

specified. Typically one will specify $R_{\rm vir}$, $M_{\rm vir}$, $V_{\rm vir}$ through the initial conditions, and let the time be set:

$$T_{\rm physical} = \frac{R_{\rm physical}}{V_{\rm physical}}$$

$$M_{\rm physical} = \frac{1}{G} R_{\rm physical} V_{\rm physical}^2$$

$$F_{\rm physical} = \frac{V_{\rm physical}}{T_{\rm physical}}$$
(1)

Note that here, $T_{\rm physical}$ is in kpc/km s⁻¹, but one can straightforwardly convert to Gyr, if desired – but using interchangably is typically fine¹⁵. For example, in the Milky Way, we will assume¹⁶ that $R_{\rm physical} = 300$ kpc, $V_{\rm physical} = 120$ km s⁻¹, $M_{\rm physical} = 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. This makes the physical time unit $T_{\rm physical} = 2.44$ Gyr. One advantage of virial units is that they make the scaleability of simulations readily apparent. One can simply scale up or down the simulation to be equally applicable from (stellar) clusters to Milky-Way-sized halos, provided the right physical scalings.

Another advantage of operating in virial units for N-body work is that the timestep will perfectly correspond to some fraction of an orbit at the virial radius. This dictates the timestep recommendations above, set in the Global stanza.

To be added: a discussion of how to easily convert between different unit systems.

What should I choose for the centre of my expansion? A note about centering¹⁷. The default recommendation is that one *not* change the expansion centre from the inertial centre. This default will work for a wide variety of problems that are angular-momentum conserving, including secular disc evolution, small halo perturbations, bar formation, etc. This will *not* work in the case of something like a large satellite flyby, where the response of the host galaxy is important. In such a case, one must engage the centering routines, which are specified per component, in the component stanza, under 'parameters'. For example:

1	- name	:	dark ha
2	parameters	:	
3	n l e v e l	:	1
4	indexing	:	true
5	EJ	:	2
6	EJdryrun	:	false
7	nEJwant	:	1000
8	nEJkeep	:	0
9	EJdiag	:	true

This set of configurations tells EXP to engage the Orient code in configuration EJ : 2, which tracks the potential centre, *does* apply the centre (EJdryrun : false), uses the 1000 particles nearest to the potential centre (nEJwant), does not apply any smoothing (nEJkeep), and prints the diagnostics (EJdiag : true). This will now output a file tagged with .orient.. Please be careful with centering: the algorithm can fail in certain circumstances. Always check the diagnostics for anything 'weird'!

The .orient. files are your diagnostic friends. There is GitHub gist available to read the output files here. There are several types of columns, which dump the diagnostics from the code (see Orient.cc):

- 1. The axis orientation computed from regression (if nEJkeep=0, this will be the same as the particle algorithm)
- 2. The axis orientation computed from the particle algorithm

0

- 3. The centre computed from regression (if nEJkeep=0, this will be the same as the particle algorithm)
- 4. The centre computed from velocity integration (analytic)
- 5. The centre computed from the particle algorithm

As another example, here's a set of parameters I used to track the LMC in a recent simulation, annotated with what they are doing (I left off the force call, but you can keep yours in there):

1	— name	:	lmc
2	bodyfile	:	lmcNhalo3.4Ma.bods
3	parameters	:	

¹⁵Further easing our translations, the conversion from s/Gyr to km/kpc is nearly unity: $3.154 \times 10^{16}/3.086 \times 10^{16} = 1.02203$. ¹⁶Using a mass model for the Milky Way, I set the virial radius and total mass, then backed out the velocity by taking the

observed circular velocity at the solar circle (230km s⁻¹) and dividing by the virial velocity at that the solar circle. ¹⁷The topic of centering in basis function expansions is likely the topic of several papers, so we will be *extremely* brief here.

4	n l e v e l	: 1	# (not centering related, but leave as 1: this sets which level is the
5	EJdryrun	: false	# says _yes_, do tracking
6	EJ	: 2	# sets tracking method. 2 means follow the most-bound particles as the
7	nEJkeep	: 1	# sets the temporal smoothing of the centre. 1 sets this to have no sm
8	nEJwant	: 50000	$\#\ sets$ the number of most-bound particles to track: try setting to 1% of
9	EJkinE	: true	$\#$ use the kinetic energy + potential energy for tracking (versus just μ
10	EJdiag	: true	# if true, prints out the diagnostic information to a file [comp].orier
11	EJx0	: 0.1	# guess at initial x centre
12	EJy0	: 1.75	# guess at initial y centre
13	EJz0	: -0.13	# guess at initial z centre
14	EJu0	: -0.03	# guess at initial vx centre
15	EJv0	: -0.7	# guess at initial vy centre
16	EJw0	: -0.25	# guess at initial vz centre

The only parameter you *must* set to get expansion tracking is EJdryrun : false. The others are helpful, but not crucial (EXP will make best guesses at all of them). I would personally recommend using the parameters set in the above example (EJ, nEJkeep, nEJwant, EJkinE, EJdiag), and replacing the guess at centre position and velocity with whatever you specify for the starting position and velocity of the cluster. Also change nEJwant to be some fraction of your particle number.

I have access to a GPU (or several). Can I use that? One of the other highly attractive features of EXP is its easy portability to Graphical Processing Units (GPUs). Any of the runs above could be replicated using the GPU side of EXP, but as accessing GPUs tends to be cluster-specific, we will not test GPU runs during the tutorial. However, if you know how to access GPUs on your own machine, it is trivial to convert an EXP .yml script to run on GPUs. Simply add the following line to the Global stanza:

1 use_cuda : true

1

The rest is figuring out how to properly harness the hardware. The typical call to get an interactive GPU job will look something like

1 srun -n8 -p astro_th_gpu -mem=24G -gres=gpu:2 -pty \$SHELL

where -p specifies the name of the queue (partition) that hosts the GPUs, and gres=gpu:2 requests GPU hardware, and specifically two of them.

I'm ready to optimise the runtime. What do I need to know about the timestep criteria? In galaxy-scale simulations, the dynamical time can vary enormously between the inner and outer galaxy. If one were to choose a timestep based on the centre of the galaxy, the particles in the outskirts would take an unreasonably huge number of steps, and be strongly overresolved. To mitigate this problem, EXP features adaptive timesteps, where each particle sets its own timestep based on guidelines from the user.

For choosing timesteps and diagnosing errors, there is an output file called [runtag].levels that contains information about the multistep scheme. If you inspect this file, you will see a lot of information about what timesteps different particles are taking. Multistep is organised hierarchically in powers of 2, so particles at level 0 always take step dt (specified in the .yml), while particles in level 7 will take $2^{(10)} = 1024$ steps per dt. The multistep level is set adaptively by timestep criteria, so it's possible this is what's grinding the evaluation to a halt. In general, you want particles to be spread between the different multistep levels to achieve optimal performance. We can achieve this by adjusting multistep (probably down, 10 is huge), or the primary timestep dt (if no particles are in the lowest multistep levels). For instance, if you look at run0.levels (from livesphere.yml), I see a reasonable spread between all multistep levels.

As an example, see a realisation of a larger Plummer cluster with $b_c = G = M = 1$ and dtime=0.2:

2		- Compone	ent <plumm< th=""><th>er, sphereS</th><th>L >, T=0.0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></plumm<>	er, sphereS	L >, T=0.0					
4 5	L	Number	dN/dL	N(<=L)	f(q/v)	$f\left(v/a\right)$	$f\left(\left.s\left/v\right.\right)\right.$	f (r / v)	f (r / a)	f(int)
6	0	11296	0.043	0.043	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
$\overline{7}$	1	18326	0.070	0.113	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
8	2	63307	0.241	0.354	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
9	3	124273	0.474	0.829	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
10	4	38141	0.145	0.974	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
11	5	5940	0.023	0.997	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
12	6	748	0.003	1.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
13	7	113	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

let's start with the .levels file. This file is telling you how many particles are in each level, at each timestep. The levels L are listed in the first column, as [0,1,...,7]. These will have timesteps of $[dt/2^0, dt/2^1, ..., dt/2^7]$. The second column tells you how many particles are in that level, then the next column is the fraction of particles at or below that level (the cumulative number), and then six columns that specify which of the timestep criteria were used for what fraction of particles.

2 BFE expansion

One application of the machinery in EXP is the BFE expansion of 'arbitrary' distributions. EXP makes the process relatively painless, at the expense of pre-planning and models that must be carefully designed to take advantage of the high-fidelity, low-noise advantages of BFE. This tutorial covers how to design your own basis. The main reference for the theory behind the bases is 'EXP : N-body integration using basis function expansions' by Petersen, Weinberg, Katz (2022).

The first order of business is to decide which EXP expansion method works for you. There are two different basis methods inside EXP :

- 1. **sphereSL** uses Sturm-Liouville solutions to the Poisson equation to represent radial basis elements, and spherical harmonics for angular basis elements. This should be used for roughly spherical components where one wishes to include self gravity.
- 2. cylinder starts with a high-order Sturm-Liouville basis and creates empirical orthogonal functions that best match the target density distribution¹⁸. This should be used for flattened objects, like discs.

We'll cover both in this tutorial, focusing first on spherical distributions before moving to disc distributions. Whichever basis you use, the units must be the same between the basis and particles: that is, if you have particles in virial units, the basis must be in virial units, but if you have particles in physical units, the basis must be in physical units.

Goals for this session:

- 1. Understand what is in a spherical and cylindrical basis.
- 2. Build a simple, arbitrary spherical basis.
- 3. Build an exponential disc basis (and plan for extensions).
- 4. Use haloprof or diskprof to output coefficients.

We'll use the same tutorials as in the first session. We'll assume in general that you have run the simulations to generate data, but the lessons here may be straightforwardly extended. We'll again mostly work in the EXP directory utils/Analysis, which should be the primary codebase resource for extensions.

2.1 Spherical models

Construction of a spherical basis is straightforward, but the selection of the best radial profile is not always simple. For a simple spherical distribution, the solution will be the monopole, or simple density distribution with radius. For the table, we need the density profile as a function of spherical radius r ($\rho(r)$), the mass enclosed profile as a function of radius ($M_{enc}(r)$), and the potential as a function of radius ($\Psi(r)$).

In the case where the functional form of the density profile $\rho(r)$ is known, with spherical radius r, constructing the spherical model table is straightforward. These steps are implemented in makemodel¹⁹, but we list them here for completeness. The general form for the potential is (see Binney & Tremaine chapter 2)

$$\Psi(r) = -4\pi G \left[\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r dr' r'^2 \rho(r') + \int_r^\infty dr' r' \rho(r') \right].$$
 (2)

We can compute the potential numerically to high precision, simply from the density. Compute the mass enclosed and the gravitational potential energy through recursion (starting from n = 1, with $M_0 = 0$, $W_0 = 0$, $r_0 = \text{rmin}$):

$$M_{n} = M_{n-1} + 2\pi \left(r_{n-1}^{2} \rho_{n-1} + r_{n}^{2} \rho_{n} \right) (r_{n} - r_{n-1})$$

$$W_{n} = W_{n-1} + 2\pi \left(r_{n-1} \rho_{n-1} + r_{n} \rho_{n} \right) (r_{n} - r_{n-1})$$
(3)

¹⁸If you look in the code, this will be called EmpCylSL.

 $^{^{19}\}mathrm{Available}$ here.

where the r values are chosen to cover the range of the model. The potential is then computed as

$$\Psi(r) = \frac{-M}{r} - \left(W(\infty) - W(r)\right) \tag{4}$$

where we can see the correspondence with equation 2 by term, with $4\pi G = 1$.

The virial units of the realised model may be scaled conveniently to a target total mass M_{target} and radius r_{target} by defining

$$\beta = \frac{M_{\text{target}}}{M(\infty)} \frac{r(\infty)}{r_{\text{target}}}$$

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{M(\infty)r(\infty)}{M_{\text{target}}r_{\text{target}}}} \frac{r(\infty)}{r_{\text{target}}}$$
(5)

and then scaling the relevant quantities through unit analysis:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\text{scaled}} &= \beta^{-1/4} \gamma^{-1/2} r_{\text{virial}} \\ \rho_{\text{scaled}} &= \beta^{3/2} \gamma \rho_{\text{virial}} \\ M_{\text{scaled}} &= \beta^{3/4} \gamma^{-1/2} M_{\text{virial}} \\ \Psi_{\text{scaled}} &= \beta \Psi_{\text{virial}} \end{aligned}$$

One advantage of the scaling is that one need not know ρ_0 , the central density, a priori.

I have a known spherical analytic density profile. How do I build a basis? If you are designing a model from scratch, this is almost certainly the situation you will find yourself in.

The code inside of EXP to build a spherical basis is remarkably straightforward. Consider the following lines from haloprof and SphereSL.H:

1 //the call from utils/Analysis/haloprof

2 SphereSL ortho(halo, LMAX, NMAX, 1, rscale, true, NPART);

3 // the call as defined in utils/Analysis/SphereSL.H

 $4 \quad {\rm SphereSL} \, (\, {\rm std} :: {\rm shared_ptr} \, < \! {\rm SphericalModelTable} > \, {\rm mod} \, , \ {\rm int} \ {\rm LMAX}, \ {\rm int} \ {\rm NMAX}, \ {\rm oth} \ {\rm NMAX}, \ {\rm oth} \ {\rm MMAX}, \ {\rm mod} \ {\rm shared_ptr} \, < \, {\rm SphereSL} \, (\, {\rm std} :: {\rm shared_ptr} \, < \, {\rm shared_ptr}$

5 int CMAP=0, double SCALE=0.067, bool COVAR=false, int NPART=0);

6 // see also include/SLGridMP2.H

That's all you need to build a spherical basis inside EXP ! Obviously there are some subtleties, but we already recognise some of the parameters: LMAX is the harmonic order and NMAX is the radial order. We will learn how to build the SphericalModelTable below. The other parameters control scalings and are generally not needed unless using EXP in some (currently) non-standard way.

To choose a minimum (RMIN) and maximum radius (RMAX) for the spherical expansion, one should consider the smallest radius that will be probed by particles and the largest radius that has a well-defined density. These numbers both tend to be set by the sampling of the density distribution (number of particles).

Example: a case where the density profile is known. Making a Hernquist basis table for EXP . Consider the generalised two-power distribution for a halo:

$$\rho(r) \propto \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{r}{a}\right)^{-(\beta - \alpha)}.$$
(6)

The Hernquist profile is given by $\alpha = 1, \beta = 4$. We can construct the model file by

```
1
   def twopower_density (r, a, alpha, beta):
        """ a twopower density profile""
\mathbf{2}
3
        ra = r/a
        return (ra**-alpha)*(1+ra)**(-beta+alpha)
4
5
\mathbf{6}
   rvals = np. linspace(1., 300., 1000)
7
    dens = twopower_density (rvals , 35., 1, 4)
    plt.figure(figsize = (4, 4))
8
9
    plt.plot(np.log10(rvals),np.log10(dens))
    plt.xlabel('log_radius_(kpc)')
10
   plt.ylabel('log_density')
11
```

We can check our numerically-computed potential against the analytic potential for a Hernquist model, given by (cf. BT08 eq. 2.67)

$$\Psi_{\text{Hernquist}} = -G \int_{r}^{\infty} dr \frac{M(r)}{r^2} = -4\pi G \rho_0 a^2 \frac{1}{2\left(1 + \frac{r}{a}\right)}$$
(7)

Additionally, getting the overall normalisation (i.e. mass) is not crucial as the coefficients can take care of the overall normalisation.



Figure 6: Two plots validating the model table construction.

I have a spherical-ish distribution of particles. How do I build a basis? The procedure is very similar to the process above: you must define a spherical density distribution, and then generate a spherical model file. Typically we'd recommend one of two options:

- 1. If the number of particles is large enough (e.g. over a million), construct an empirical density profile. The profile must be sufficiently smooth (by-eye inspection) as the basis uses these values directly.
- 2. Define a functional form that is nearly the density profile of the spherical distribution and design the basis from that.

Either option should be straightforward to implement; we can supply code to do either, if helpful.

I have a spherical-ish distribution of particles and a basis. How do I compute coefficients? As a test, let's see if we can recreate the same coefficients for the satellite-affected DiskHalo run from the first tutorial.

1

```
2
      -outdir=/nas/astro-th/mpetersen/NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo ---coefs=coefs
```

```
3
      dir=/nas/astro-th/mpetersen/NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo -beg=0 -end=100 -prefix=OUT
```

```
4
```

We can now compare against the original coefficients from the run:

```
from exptool.io import outcoef
1
   C = outcoef.OutCoef('/Users/mpetersen/Downloads/coefs.coefs')
2
   C2 = outcoef.OutCoef('/Users/mpetersen/Downloads/outcoef.dark.run1')
3
4
5
   plt.figure(figsize = (4,4))
6
   plt.plot(C2.T,-C2.coefs[:,0,1],label='original',color='black')
7
   plt.plot(C.T,C.coefs[:,0,1],label='reconstructed',color='red',linestyle='dashed')
8
9
10
   plt.legend()
   plt.xlabel('time')
11
```

```
plt.ylabel('amplitude')
12
```



Figure 7: Comparison of the spherical coefficients from the simulation, and reconstructed.

2.2 Cylindrical models

Cylindrical models are modestly less well-studied than spherical models, for the sole reason that we are the only ones using them presently. One should generally have have some sense of the underlying structure in the disc component in order to realise a disc basis, but one can also make a basis directly from particles. In particular, one can either

- 1. Start from a parameterised function for the disc basis (primary mode), or
- 2. Feed in a particle distribution and let the algorithms do the work, if one has a distribution of particles already (most relevant for cosmological simulations).

We'll cover both cases.

How do I design a basis that will work for an analytic exponential disc? The most well-studied cylinder basis case is that of the exponential disc. One can extend the models to additional density distributions, but these are not currently as well-studied (though they would be a fun project!). The program cylcache is specifically designed for the purpose of building an analytic disc basis.

EXP currently supports the following disc geometries as analytic densities:

- 1. constant cylindrical slab
- 2. Gaussian radial profile, constant vertical profile
- 3. Miyamoto-Nagai (MN)
- 4. Standard one-component exponential
- 5. Two-component exponential (sum of two exponentials with different a and z)

If all you care about is constructing a basis, you can simply run gendisk in the default mode and stop after the basis is constructed. We are currently working to reset default values. The most relevant settings (with typical values) are

- 1 MMAX = 6 # the number of azimuthal harmonics
- $2 \text{ NORDER} = 12 \ \# \ the \ number \ of \ radial \ basis \ elements \ per \ harmonic$
- 3 ASCALE = $0.0141 \ \# \ the \ scale \ length \ of \ the \ expansion$
- $4 \quad \text{HSCALE} = \ 0.002 \ \# \ the \ scale \ height \ of \ the \ expansion$

You will also need a halofile. You can simply pull one from a different tutorial directory. To run,

```
1 srun -n64 — exclusive — pty $SHELL
```

```
2 cd /nas/astro-th/mpetersen/NBodyTutorial/CylBasis
```

```
3 mpirun gendisk — conf=template.config \# generate a default file
```

```
4 mpirun -np 64 gendisk ---input=model.conf
```

```
5
```

```
6 mpirun -np 16 cylcache —ASCALE=0.01 —HSCALE=0.001 —LMAX2=32 —NMAX2=32
```

I have a disc-like distribution of particles. How do I flexibly build a basis? EXP can also build a cylinder basis from particles themselves and some simple assumptions about the density distribution. This is expensive, and has not yet been fully reviewed for force accuracy. Within constrained situations, however, this can be a very workable option. The telltale signs to look for in basis construction will look something like:

- 1 EmpCylSL::cache_grid: error opening file
- 2 Cylinder: can not read EOF file </nas/astro-th/mpetersen/NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo/.eof.cache.run2>
- 3 Cylinder: will attempt to generate EOF file, this will take some time (e.g. hours) . . .
- $4 \hspace{0.1in} EmpCylSL::make_sl(): making \hspace{0.1in} SLGridSph \hspace{0.1in} with \hspace{0.1in} < \!\!Exponential \!\!> model$

Example: building a cylindrical basis to run *N***-body** If EXP doesn't find the cylinder cache, EXP will attempt to make a basis from scratch. You can see an example of this by saving NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo/galaxy.yml as NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo/galaxyadapt.yml and changing the disc component stanza to read:

1	- name	:	star	dis	s k
2	parameters	:	{nle	vel:	: 1}
3	bodyfile	:	disk	. boo	ls
4	force :				
5	id : cyli	nċ	ler		
6	parameter	rs :	:		
7	acyl			:	0.01
8	h c y l			:	0.001
9	mmax			:	4
10	ncylord	leı		:	4
11	lmax			:	32
12	nmax			:	32
13	ncylnx			:	256
14	ncylny			:	128
15	logr			:	false
16	self_co	ns	sister	nt:	true
17	PNUM			:	1

The last parameter, PNUM, sets the number of azimuthal resolution elements for computing the basis. If the distribution is axisymmetric (i.e. an initial disc), this may be safely set to 1, which results in an enormous speed-up in basis construction. You should also change the runtag setting from run0 to run2 in all four places it occurs in galaxyadapt.yml. If you now run

1 mpirun -np 64 exp -f galaxyadapt.yml

EXP will create a disc basis and start running the simulation. Note that without some tinkering under the hood, the method of generating a cylindrical cache from the particles should only be used on an exponential disc. Please talk with Mike or Martin if you want to test a different density distribution.

I have a disc-like distribution of particles and a basis. How do I compute coefficients? EXP has a cylindrical equivalent of haloprof called diskprof. The calls are slightly different (but will be homogenised!), but the basic idea is the same. The largest change is that one should feed in a cachefile (although diskprof will attempt to make a basis if a suitable cachefile is not found – with the same caveats as above).

A typical call will look something like

```
1 mpirun -np 16 diskprof -v ---cachefile=.eof.cache.run2
```

```
2 --- outdir=/nas/astro-th/mpetersen/NBodyTutorial/DiskHalo --- coeffile=coefs
```

3 — beg=0 — end=100 — prefix=OUT — filetype=PSPout — runtag=run2 — compname="star_disk"

2.3 Validating the basis

Validating bases is a large topic in it's own right, so we will not cover it in detail here. In general, the best defense against wonky bases is simply to inspect them. EXP will dump basis images if asked, and the Python-based EXPTOOL has readers that will interface with the caches. If in doubt when designing a new basis, ask!

```
1 from exptool.utils import halo_methods
```

```
2
3 sph_file = '/Users/mpetersen/CodeHold/sphexpansion/data/SLGridSph.mw.run9mlde'
4 mod_file = '/Users/mpetersen/CodeHold/sphexpansion/data/ErkalMW.model'
5
```



Figure 8: Comparison of the spherical coefficients from the simulation, and reconstructed.

```
6 lmax,nmax,numr,cmap,rmin,rmax,scale,ltable,evtable,eftable = halo_methods.read_cached_table(sph_f
7 xi,rarr,p0,d0 = halo_methods.init_table(mod_file,numr,rmin,rmax,cmap,scale)
```

```
\#\ using\ the\ calls\ from\ above\ to\ read\ in\ the\ cache\ files\ldots
     1
     \mathbf{2}
                      import numpy as np
                       from exptool.basis import spheresl
     3
     4
                      llmax = (lmax+1)*(lmax+1)
     5
     \mathbf{6}
                       expcoef = np.zeros([llmax,nmax])
                       \exp \operatorname{coef}[0][0] = 6.0
     7
     8
                       \exp \operatorname{coef}[1][0] = 0.01
     9
                                                              = 0.01 \# 3d radius
10
                       r
                       \operatorname{costh} = 0.0 \quad \# = z/r
11
12
                                                             = 0.0 \# azimuth
                       phi
13
14
                      den0\,, den1\,, pot0\,, pot1\,, potr\,, potr\,, potp\,=\, spheresl\,.\, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ expcoef\,, xi\,, p0\,, d0\,, cmap\,, scale\,, all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ costh\,,\ phi\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,\ all\_eval(r\,,\ costh\,,\ costh\,,
15
16
                       print(potr)
```